arXiv:cond-mat/0102354v1 20 Feb 2001

On the Belitz-Kirkpatrick comment on "Specific heat of a Fermi system near ferromagnetic quantum phase transition", by I.Grosu, D.Bodea and M.Crisan (cond-mat/0101392)

M.Crisan, I.Grosu and D.Bodea

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Cluj, 3400 Cluj, Romania

In a recent comment [1] Belitz and Kirkpatrick made some pertinent observations concerning our calculations [2] of the specific heat in a quantum phase transition which appear in a itinerant-electron ferromagnet. We mention from the beginning that these observations are not to defend our paper but to make more clear the problem from our paper which is not the same with the new points of the authors. However, we mention that indeed $C_V \sim T \ln T$ has been obtained first by Millis [3] for the marginal case $z = d$ (recently it was showed [4] that it could also appear near a Lifshits point), but in this case we belive that it is given by the presence in the theory of the dangerous irrelevant parameter which leads to the logarithmic correction as was mentioned recently by Belitz et al.[5] on the basis of scaling analysis. Before considering the specific feature of our model and calculations we will start with a short analysis of the experimental results, and the theoretical models proposed in literature for the quantum phase transition (QPT) in the itinerant-electron ferromagnetism.

A. A short review of experimental data and the phenomenological approach

It is well known that that quantum phase transitions in clean itinerant-electron ferromagnet (we will use clean and disordered in the same sense as Kirkpatrick and Belitz [5] and Vojta [6]) appear in a small number of systems (if we refer to the antiferromagnetic QPT) as $MnSi$ or $Th_{1-x}U_xCu_2Si_2$ and this transition is given by doping or preassure. One of the most remarcable

property of these materials is the non-Fermi behavior of the transport and magnetic properties. Pfleiderer et al. [7] formulated a phenomenological Moryia-like model for the explanation of the non-Fermi behavior of $MnSi$, the main point being the state $d = z = 3$ which is a non-Fermi liquid. This is in agreement with the Millis [3] analysis from Renormalization Group (RG) calculation, and called marginal case.

B. Renormalization Group approaches

The first way to use the Renormalization Group (RG) method , due to Hertz [10] was reconsidered by Millis [3] and recently by Lavagna [11] and used for the clean itinerant-electron paramagnetic phase. The susceptibility $\chi(\vec{q},\omega)$ given by Lindhard function arround $q = \omega = 0$ is written as:

$$\chi^{-1}(\vec{q},\omega) = \chi^{-1}(0,0) - b\left(\frac{q}{k_F}\right)^2 + ia\frac{\omega}{qv_F} \tag{1}$$

The imaginary term can be written as $\omega/\Gamma(q)$, where $\lim_{q\to 0}\Gamma(q) = 0$, because the fluctuations of the order parameter in ferromagnetic order satisfies the conservation law. The RG theory is developed using a ϕ^4-model for the fluctuations of the order parameter, and for $d_{eff} = d + z > 4$ the interaction becomes an irrelevant parameter which leads to a Gaussian model. Studing the crossover from quantum to classical behavior it was showed (see Ref.[3,4]) that in the specific heat the $T\ln T$ is given by the quantum contribution.

The second point of view was recently pointed out by Belitz, Kirkpatrick and Vojta (BKV) in a relevant number of papers [5,6] (the starting papers are cited in these references) who showed the non-analyticity of $\chi(\vec{q},\omega)$ and for $d = 3$, and at finite temperature we have:

$$\chi^{-1}(\vec{q},\omega) = \delta + c_3\left(\frac{q}{2k_F}\right)^2 \ln\frac{2k_F}{q} + c_2q^2 + \frac{|\omega|}{\Gamma(\vec{q})} \tag{2}$$

where $\Gamma(\vec{q}) \sim q$. This form gives a first order phase transition and leads to the non-analyticity of the Landau-Ginsburg theory that is given by the singular behavior of the coefficients of this expansion. This singular behavior is due to the integrating out of the soft mode which is in this case particle-hole excitations mode. In fact this appear when $\chi(\vec{q},\omega)$ is calculate using a linear dispersion for the electron-hole excitations. The Lindhard function $\chi(\vec{q},\omega)$

is calculated using the Green functions with quadratic wave vector dependence. Recently, the authors [12] developed a local field theory (a theory with a definite Landau-Ginsburg action when $q \to 0$, $\omega \to 0$) and for a disordered ferromagnet, keeping the soft modes which in this case are diffusive. The logarithmic correction to the scaling was presented as due to the Wegner corrections. The problem of the clean itinerant-electron ferromagnetic transition was not treated using the local field theory, but it seems to us that a similar RG treatment can be done.

C. Model proposed in our paper [2]

Our paper started from a very special class of systems F_xPd_{1-x} (where F is a ferromagnetic impurity, and x is the concentration) first studied by Doniach and Wohlfarth [8] and recently by Nicklas et al.[9] which showed that such a system becomes non-Fermi. These systems are very different from the systems mentioned above because Pd is a paramagnetic metal which is close to the Stoner instability and a small concentration of magnetic impurities drive this metal in a ferromagnetic state, but near a critical concentration associated with the quantum phase transition this system becomes also non-Fermi. This behavior is difficult to be explained in terms of disorder, and we adopted the idea from Ref.8, that a strong polarization of the Fermi liquid couples to the fluctuations of the magnetic impurities. The susceptibility of this system has the form:

$$\chi^{-1}(\vec{q},\omega) = \delta - aq^2 - b\frac{\Delta\omega}{q^2} - i\frac{\omega}{\Gamma \cdot q} \tag{3}$$

where δ is the concentration dependent distance from the quantum critical point. Starting with these considerations we will discuss the possible theoretical models which can give for the specific heat a $T \ln T$ behavior, which demonstrate the non-Fermi character of the system. First we have to define the fluctuations of magnetic impurities. Certainly this is not a critical mode and have a strong local character. This gives a very special form for $\chi(\vec{q},\omega)$ approximated by Eq.(3), which for $\Delta = 0$ gives the behavior similar with Eq.(1). This equation is different from Eq.(2) because of the absence of a $(q/2k_F)^2 \ln(2k_F/q)$ term in the BKV model. On the other hand we mention that due to the electron-spin coupling we cannot integrate out the soft mode (electron-hole mode) and this is the explanation why Eq.(3) is similar

to Eq.(1), if $\Delta = 0$. ¿From the RG scaling equation we have to get $\Delta \neq 0$ a relevant parameter, and $\Gamma = const.$ This can be obtained if $z < 4$ and $z = 3$. This is specific for the studied system and gives to u (interaction) the character of dangerous irrelevant parameter. A sistem with $z = 2$ still keep valid the condition $d_{eff} = d + z > 0$ which can give a $T \ln T$ in the specific heat. It is easy to see that this behavior is associated with the specific model defined by Eq.(3), which will never contain a non-analytic contribution because we used the Lindhard form for $\chi(\vec{q}, \omega)$ of the electrons. Finally, we mention that even for a more eleborated model, the non-Fermi behavior, mentioned in fact by Belitz et al.[14], have to be reobtained for the QPT in the itinerant-electron systems in $d = 3$.

References

[1] D.Belitz, T.R.Kirkpatrick, cond-mat/0102064
[2] I.Grosu, D.Bodea, M.Crisan, cond-mat/0101392
[3] A.J.Millis, Phys.Rev.B 48, 7183, (1993)
[4] C.P.Moca, I.Tifrea, M.Crisan, Phys.Rev.B 61, 3247, (2000)
[5] T.R.Kirkpatrick, D.Belitz, cond-mat/9707001 (see also the references therein concerning this problem obtained by Belitz, Kirkpatrick, Vojta (BKV))
[6] T.Vojta, Ann.Phys.(2000) (to be published)
[7] C.Pfleiderer, G.J.McMullan, S.R.Julian, G.G.Lonzarich, Phys.Rev.B 55, 8330, (1997)
[8] S.Doniach, E.P.Wohlfarth, Proc.Royal.Soc.(London) 296, 442, (1967)
[9] M.Nicklas, M.Bredo, G.Kenbel, F.Mayr, W.Trinke, A.Ladl, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 4286, (1999)
[10] J.A.Hertz, Phys.Rev.B 14, 1165, (1976)
[11] M.Lavagna, cond-mat/0102119
[12] D.Belitz, T.R.Kirkpatrick, M.T.Mercaldo, S.L.Session, cond-mat/0008061
[13] D.Belitz, T.R.Kirkpatrick, M.T.Mercaldo, S.L.Session, cond-mat/0010377
[14] D.Belitz, T.R.Kirkpatrick, R.Narayanan, T.Vojta, Phys.Rev.Lett.85, 4602, (2000)